



\*CRCCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL TRANSPORT THE A

UNCLASSIFIED			•		• / •	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THE	SPAC					4
		AD-A179	5 040	<u> </u>		
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	ATIO	TO AII.	043	ARKINGS		
Za. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AL	THORITY		3. 010111100110	VAILABILITY OF	REPORT	
			approved for public release;			
26. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRA	ADING SCHED	OULE	distribution	n unlimited		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			AFOSR-TR- 86 - 2179			
64 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGAN	NIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONI	TORING ORGANI	ZATION	
The University of Mic	chigan		AFOSR			
6c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Co	de)			7b. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		
Department of Nuclean		ring .	, ,	Building 410		
222 Research Administ Ann Arbor MI 48109	ration		BOLLING AFI	B, D.C. 2033	02-0448	
Se. NAME OF . UNDING/SPONSORI	NG	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			
AFOSR	•		AFOSR-82-0339			
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Co	(de)	<u> </u>	10. SOURCE OF FU	NDING NOS.		
Building 410	•		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
Bolling AFB, D.C. 203	Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332-6448		61102F	2301	NO. A7	NO.
11. TITLE (Include Security Classifica "INTERACTION OF CHARC		CLE BEAMS WITH I	RE-IONIZED CH	ANNELS"		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)				······································	<del></del>	
Dr. T. Kammash	13b. TIME C	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	OT IV. V. D.	15. PAGE (	COUNT
FINAL	1	09/30 T085/09/29	\$	rif (ir., iro., Day)	15. PAGE	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	•				1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
				DEC 1 2 1986		
17 COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (C		ecessary and identifi		er)
FIELD GROUP SU	B. GR.				*	:
				بمر		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse	if necessary and	d identify by block number	r)	•		
A Monte Carlo Fokker			- h*		_	•
from a relativistic e						
special cases are exa radius, 90 KeV beam p						
a density of 10 cm		s in nydrogen cr temperature of				
place only with the o						
traverse Wuch channel	if the	inonization drop	s below 20%	noting that	for such	para-
meters the channel pressure corresponds to few tens of militors. The second case						
corresponds to what is referred to as the Ion Focused Regime (IFR) where the pressure is about 0.1 torr. Scattering of beam partcles by both neutral and charged particles is						
taken into account in						
It is shown that tran	nsmitted	fraction is line	arly proporti	ional to the	square of	the
channel radius, and t			le scattering	g increases	with incre	asing.
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT			21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🔼 S.	UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 🖾 SAME AS RPT. 🔁 DTIC USERS 🗔			ED	, <u></u>	
228. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL			22b. TELEPHONE N (Include Area Co		22c. OFFICE SYN	MBOL
BRUCE L. SMITH			202/767-490	6	NP	
	_				COTTER	

DD FORM 1473, 83 APR 228717- 5 H

EDITION OF 1 JAN 73 IS OBSOLETE.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

AFOSR-TR. 86-2179

Final Report

AFOSR 82 0339

Interaction of Charged Particle Beams
with Pre-Ionized Channels

T. Kammash

J. Les



The University of Michigan

A 1

## Abstract

A Monte Carlo Fokker Planck Code is used to investigate the scattering loss of particles from a relativistic electron beam propagating in a low-pressure air channel. Two special cases are examined. The first corresponds to an experiment in which a onecm radius, 90 keV beam propagates in a hydrogen channel of the same radius, 50 cm long with a density of  $10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and a temperature of 0.5 eV assuming the interaction to take place only with the charged particles of the medium. It is shown that the beam will not traverse such a channel if the ionization drops below 20% noting that for such parameters the channel pressure corresponds to few tens of militors. The second case corresponds to what is referred to as the Ion Focused Regime (IFR) where the pressure is about 0.1 torr. Scattering of beam particles by both neutral and charged particles is taken into account in this case as well as multiple scattering from neutral targets. It is shown that transmitted fraction is linearly proportional to the square of the channel radius, and the probability of multiple scattering increases with increasing channel radius.

## Introduction and Analysis

In many applications involving the use of charged particle beams propagating in a gaseous medium the question often arises as to the efficiency of propagation and the processes that detract from efficient transmission. Of special interest in this connection is the propagation of intense relativistic electron beams in low-pressure, pre-ionized media. Although there are

several mechanisms that impact such a propagation the effect of scattering is especially important and requires extensive investigation. This effort is aimed at studying the role of scattering in electron beam propagation in low pressure channels. It is carried out with the aid of a Monte Carlo Fokker Planck (1) (MCFP) computer Code which allows us to follow a given sample of non-interacting particles in full configuration and velocity space as the beam tranverses a cylindrically symmetric gaseous channel. The four main parts of (MCFP) are: The Fokker Planck section, the large-angle scattering section, the neutral scattering section, and the particle loss section.

In the first section the code follows a sample of particles in time by advancing the position and velocity in accordance with the collisionless equations of motion. Particle deflections and energy depostion in the background plasma are statistically determined using the usual scale times derived from Fokker-Planck theory. For the deflection of beam particles MCFP assumes that each small angle scattering results in a deflection angle  $\Theta$  (usually set to  $S^O$  as input) and determines the number of deflections  $N_O$  encountered by the equation:

$$N_{\theta} = \Delta t / \tau_{\theta}$$
 (1)

where  $\Delta$  t is a given time step,  $T_{\Theta} = T_{d} \sin^{2}\theta$  and  $T_{d}$  is the familiar deflection given in MKS units by

$$\mathcal{T}_{d}^{-1} = \frac{29}{V^{3}} \sum_{b} \mathcal{Z}_{b}^{2} \ln \Lambda_{b} \left\{ \operatorname{crb} \left( a_{b}^{v_{2}} v \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2 a_{b} v} + \frac{e^{-a_{b} v^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi} a_{b}^{v_{b}} v} \right] \right\}$$

In the above equation ( denotes the error function and

$$9 = me^{4} \frac{2^{2}}{4\pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{4\pi \epsilon_{0}}{3kT_{e}}$$

$$Q_{b} = m_{b} \frac{2kT_{b}}{2e^{2}}$$

$$A_{b} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{D} \frac{4\pi \epsilon_{0}}{2e^{2}} \left( \frac{m_{c}}{m_{c}+m} \right) zE ; b=e \end{cases}$$

$$A_{b} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{D} \frac{4\pi \epsilon_{0}}{2e^{2}} \left( \frac{m_{c}}{m_{c}+m} \right) zE ; b=e \end{cases}$$

m = mass of test particle

 $m_b$  = mass of particle of species "b"

T<sub>b</sub> = temperature of particles "b"

Ze = charge of test particle

E = energy of test particle

 $\lambda_D$  = Debye length =

 $\epsilon_{o}$  = permittivity of free space

The above small angle scattering information is then used to update the velocity vector of a given sample particle. The energy loss of a given test (beam) particle is obtained in a way similar to that of small angle scattering just described. In this case if we let  $\, E \,$  be the energy lost by a sample particle in time  $\Delta \,$ t then

$$\Delta E = -E \frac{\Delta t}{\overline{c_E}}$$
 (3)

where E is the beginning energy, and  $\mathcal{T}_{E}$  is the familiar energy exchange time given by

Kichange time given by
$$T_{\mathcal{G}} = -\frac{\mathcal{V}^{2}}{g} \sum_{b} \ln \Delta_{b} Z_{b}^{2} \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{m}{m_{b}} \right) \frac{4 a_{b}^{1/2} e^{-a_{b} v^{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{2m}{m_{b}} \operatorname{erf} \left( a_{b}^{1/2} v \right) \right\} \tag{4}$$

see louisones herbores energice dissission lighters

where

with the parameters defined as before. Thus the speed of the test particle can also be updated in each time step. It might be noted at this point that MCFP has an option that determines the background plasma temperature by employing the simple model in which the channel temperature difference (T-T\_0) is directly proportional to the total energy deposited,  $\triangle E_{\rm t}$ , at time t. This clearly implies that the background plasma instantly thermalizes once energy is deposited. The newly determined temperature, T, is then used to calculate the new  $_{\rm d}$  and  $_{\rm O}$  assuming that the background plasma remains Maxwellian and thus a beam-channel feedback effect can be studied. If the changing background temperature model is not selected then MCFP assumes a constant temperature for an unperturbed Maxwellian background.

The code also takes into account the possibility that a beam particle suffers a large angle scattering with the background plasma. Using a random number the code determines such an event by sampling from the distribution.

$$P_{LA} = 1 - e^{-\Delta t/T_{LA}}$$

$$T_{LA} = \left( v \sum_{LA} \right)^{-1}$$
(5)

with  $\sum_{\ell,A}$  being the large at the macroscopic scattering cross section and U being the five value of velocity. A scattering

event in the center of mass frame is then obtained by randomly sampling the equation

$$P_{L_{A}}(\theta_{R}) = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma_{R}(e)} \int_{0}^{\theta_{R}} \sigma_{R}(E, \theta') \sin \theta' d\theta'$$
(6)

where
$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{E}) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\mathbf{T}} \nabla (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\theta}') \sin \mathbf{\theta}' d\mathbf{\theta}'$$
(7)

and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{\theta}')$  is the Rutherford cross section. The quantity  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathcal{R}}$  represents the angle that defines the onset of large angle scattering, and E is the relative kinetic energy. In the interest of computation any deflection angle in the laboratory frame less than  $10^{\circ}$  is ignored. When the kinetic energies of the interacting particles is above a certain threshold,  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{th}}$ , the code treats the background particle as a test (beam) particle meaning that its spatial and velocity coordinates are tracked in time. If the above requirement on the kinetic energies is not met then MCFP will relegate the energy of the less energetic particle to the background plasma. After that, only the higher energy particle from the interaction is followed allowing us a method by which "delta" rays can be identified and followed.

In the case of scattering from neutral particles the probability for such an interaction is selected by first defining an important mean free time, namely

$$F^{\ell} = \frac{1}{2 L L}$$
(8)

where  ${m U}$  is the beam electron velocity, n is the neutral density and  ${m U}$  is an appropriate interaction cross section. Although other

inelastic events could be considered we limit our study to ionization and the interaction probability can then be described by  $dt/t_f$  when dt is taken as a very small code time step. Given an appropriate differential scattering cross section a random scattering angle  $\theta$  can be selected from the distribution

$$P(\theta) = \frac{\int_{min}^{\theta} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} d\Omega}{\int_{max}^{\theta} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} d\Omega} = \frac{\xi}{\xi}; \quad \theta = \frac{274}{A^{1/2}} \frac{C}{VU}$$

$$\int_{max}^{\theta} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} d\Omega = \int_{bb}^{b} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} d\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \int_{max}^{b} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$$

where **\( \)** is a random number. For relativistic electrons propagating in a low temperature medium it is expected that the distribution be highly peaked in the forward direction, and using the results from hydrogen appropriately sealed for higher

elements we can write (2)
$$\int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta} \frac{d\theta}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta} \frac{128 \pi^{5} m^{2} z^{2} e^{4}}{\lambda^{4} k^{4}} \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin^{3} \theta} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{4} k \dot{a}^{2} k \dot{a}^{2} \theta)^{4}} \right] d\theta$$

$$= \underbrace{\delta \beta}_{\theta_{min}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{1 + \beta \theta_{mi}} + \frac{1}{1 + \beta k \dot{a}^{2} \theta} + 4 \log \left[ (10) \right] \right\} \left\{ \frac{(1 + \beta \theta_{mi}) k \dot{a}^{2} \theta}{(1 + \beta \theta_{mi}) \theta_{mi}} \right\} + \frac{1}{3} \left[ -\frac{1}{(1 + \beta \theta_{mi})^{3}} + \frac{1}{(1 + \beta k \dot{a}^{2} \theta)^{3}} \right] \right\}$$

where in CGS units the parameters  $\zeta$  and  $oldsymbol{eta}$  are given by

$$S = \frac{3.2885 \times 10^{20}}{v^{4}} ; \beta = (1.74 \times 10^{-18}) v^{2}$$
(11)

In the above expression m is the electron mass, k is the Debroglie wave number, h is Planck's constant,  $\alpha \approx 1.40$ ,  $\Xi$ 

 $\mathcal{Q}_{ullet}$  is the Bohr radium, and  $\mathcal{Q}_{oldsymbol{p}_{oldsymbol{a}}}$  is the minimum scattering angle given by

$$\Theta_{min} = \frac{Z^{\frac{1}{2}}}{192} \frac{C}{80}$$
(12)

where  $\Upsilon$  is the relativistic parameter and c is the speed of light. As we noted earlier, small angle scattering is included in the code by an implicit Fokker-Planck method where the probability for such a scattering event in the code time t is simply  $dt/\tau_d$  where  $T_d$  is given by Eq. (2), for plasma densities on the order of  $10^{11} - 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> which might be appropriate for IFR and for  $V \simeq C$  it is readily seen that  $T_d \simeq 10^{-1}$  seconds so that at pulse lengths on the order of nanoseconds it is clear that scattering from charged particles can be neglected. These considerations are consistent with some experimental observations. (3)

In order to crudely model the propagation of a relativistic electron beam in either an IFR  $^{(4)}$  or a force-free propagation mode we have incorporated the self magnetic field in a phenomonological way using Ampere's law for an infinite, uniform beam. We have neglected the radial electric field by taking the charge neutralization factor f to be unity. Since the code calculates the number of particles that traverse the channel, the fraction of the beam that is transmitted would then represent an upper limit for pulse lengths  $\overline{\zeta}_p$  that are shorter than  $\overline{\zeta}_{CN}$ , the charge neutralization time, and a good estimate when  $\overline{\zeta}_p$  since the instabilities which might occur in such time scale are ignored. Under these conditions the description of the scattering process might be deemed appropriate to that taking

place in a force neutralized, charge neutralized or force-free regimes. With propagation having been observed in pressure ranges of 0.1 - 5 torr (5) it should be noted that at lower pressures there are usually insufficient neutrals to provide an adequate ion density for force neutralization and at higher pressures multiple scattering could cause dispersal of the beam. The latter effect has been incorporated in the code.

Finally, it should be noted that in assessing the usefulness of MCFP code in beam propagation studies it should be kept in mind that particle scattering can be accurately described and that no assumptions need be made concerning the plasma conductivity since ionization can be calculated self-consistently. Particle and energy loss mechanisms can also be readily included so long as the appropriate mean free paths for these processes can be selected. Because of its three dimensional simulation capability, the often used "paraxial" approximation and others need not be made. Because of limitations on the number of particles that can be simulated it is clear that the treatment of self fields and the modelling of instabilities, though possible, might be quite difficult.

## Some Applications

When used in connection with the study of an electron beam propagation in a low pressure, preformed channel given by the following parameters: (6)

beam current = 1kA

beam radius = 1 cm

beam energy = 90 keV

plasma species = hydrogen

channel radius = 1 cm

channel length = 50 cm

channel proton density = 10<sup>14</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>

channel neutral density = 4 x 10<sup>14</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup>

channel temperature = 0.5eV

pulse length = 0.8 x 10<sup>-8</sup> sec

The code revealed that no propagation occurs below 20% ionization. At the above parameters the results show that about 33% of the beam particles were last in a distance of about 10cm down stream from the injection point. When the neutral density was reduced by a factor of 10 most of the beam particles were able to traverse the channel.

In assessing the rate of multiple scattering by neutral particles the following case was examined:

channel radius =  $N_c$ beam radius  $N_b$  = 1.5 cm

neutral density  $N_n$  = 2 x 10<sup>15</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

electrons used to simulate the beam  $N_s$  = 5000

Transmitted fraction of beam = T

Number of scatterings off of a neutral particle = The ratio  $N_m/n_s$  = S

The results are given in Table 1.

Table 1

N <sub>c</sub>	T	m	S
2.5	0.007	7338	1.47
5	0.015	9258	1.85
10	0.03	12183	2.4
15	0.079	15003	3.0
21.2	0.121	17800	3.56

We note that the transmitted fraction is almost linearly proportional to which is a direct consequence of the geometric effect. Another geometric consequence is the linear scaling of and S with  $h_c$ . Since the probability of neutral-interaction is proportional to the path length traversed, and this length increases with  $h_c$  it is expected that multiple scattering should increase with  $h_c$ . The variation of these parameters with the neutral density is shown in Table 2 for  $h_c = 21.2$  and  $h_b = 1.5$  cm and  $h_c = 3 \times 10^{-18}$  cm<sup>2</sup>

Table 2

n (cm-3)	m	S	Т	
2x1013	293	0.06	0.95	
2x1014	2789	0.66	0.79	
2x1015	18000	3.6	0.14	
2×1016	68263	13.65	0.002	

## References

these mercers, respective endance successes believed

- 1. A. Andrade, University of Michigan Ph.D. Theses (1981).
- N. F. Mott and H. S. W. Massey, <u>The Theory of Atomic</u>
   Collisions, 3rd edition, Oxford Press, 1965.
- P. A. Miller, and J. B. Gerardo, J. Appl. Physics <u>43</u>, 3008
   (1972).
- K. W. Struve, E. J. Lauer, and F. W. Chambers, UCRL 88922,
   Sept 1983.
- 5. T. Fessenden et al., UCID 17840, June 1978.
- M. Raleigh, J. D. Sethian, L. Allen, and J. R. Greig, Naval Research Laboratory Report NRL 4220, May 13, 1980.